1	DAVID R. FISCHER, ESQ.					
2	Nevada Bar No. 10348 LAW OFFICE OF DAVID R. FISCHER 400 South 4th Street Suits 500					
3	400 South 4th Street, Suite 500 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Telephone: (702) 547-3944 Facsimile: (702) 974-1458					
4						
5	Email: federal@fischerlawlv.com Attorney for Defendant MONTRIE LEDFORD					
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA					
8	LINUTED STATES OF AMERICA					
9	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,	Case No.: 2:19-mj-00392-NJK				
10	vs.					
11	CTERNA NIE GEORGE	STIPULATION TO CONTINUE PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION				
12	STEPHANIE GEORGE et al.,	HEARINGS				
13	Defendants.	(Third Request)				
14	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND A	AGREED, by and between Nicholas A. Trutanich,				
15	Esq., United States Attorney, Robert Knief, Esq., Assistant United States Attorney, Margaret W.					
16	Lambrose, Esq., Counsel for Defendant STEPHANIE GEORGE, Dustin R. Marcello, Esq.,					
17	Counsel for Defendant CHIVAS GRAVES, an	nd David R. Fischer, Esq., Counsel for Defendant				
18	MONTRIE LEDFORD, that the Preliminary Examination Hearings currently scheduled for					
19	Friday, June 14, 2019, and Monday, June 17, 2	2019, be continued.				
20	IT IS STIPULATED AND AGREED that the Preliminary Examination Hearing for					
21	defendants STEPHANIE GEORGE, CHIVAS	GRAVES, and MONTRIE LEDFORD, currently				
22	scheduled for Wednesday, September 11, 2019, at 4:00 P.M., be continued to a date and time					
23	convenient to this Honorable Court, but no ear	lier than sixty (60) days.				
24	This Stipulation is entered into for the f	following reasons:				

1	1.	Counsel for defendant GEORGE spoke with GEORGE, who is out of custody, and
2		GEORGE has no objection to the request for continuance:

- 2. Counsel for defendant CHIVAS spoke with CHIVAS, who is out of custody, and CHIAVAS has no objection to the request for continuance;
- Counsel for defendant LEDFORD spoke with LEDFORD, who is out of custody,
 and LEDFORD has no objection to the request for continuance;
- 4. As indicated below, counsel for the United States has no objection to the request for continuance;
- 9 5. Counsel for Defendants will need additional time to review discovery;
- 6. The additional time requested herein is not sought for the purposes of delay, but to allow Counsel for the Defendants sufficient time to effectively and thoroughly research and prepare;
 - 7. Denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage of justice;
 - 8. Denial of this request for continuance would deny undersigned counsel sufficient time to effectively and thoroughly prepare, taking into account the exercise of due diligence;
 - 9. The additional time requested is excludable in computing the time within which trial must commence pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(1)(D) and Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(7)(A) considering the factors in Title 18, United States Code, Sections 3161(h)(7)(B)(i) and 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv);
- 22 10. This is the third request for a continuance filed herein.
- 23 ///

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24 ///

I	WHEREFORE, for the foregoing	g reasons, the ends of justice would best be served by
2	continuance of the Preliminary Examinat	ion Hearings of sixty (60) days.
3	DATED this 10 th day of September	er, 2019
4		
5	/s/ Nicholas A. Trutanich	/s/ Margaret W. Lambrose
	NICHOLAS A. TRUTANICH United States Attorney	MARGARET W. LAMBROSE, ESQ. Counsel for Defendant GEORGE
6	Office States Attorney	Counsel for Detendant GEORGE
7	/s/ Robert Knief	/s/ Dustin R. Marcello
/	ROBERT KNIEF	DUSTIN R. MARCELLO, ESQ.
8	Assistant United States Attorney Counsel for the United States	Counsel for Defendant GRAVES
	Counsel for the Clinted States	/s/ David R. Fischer
9		DAVID R. FISCHER, ESQ.
10		Counsel for Defendant LEDFORD
10		
11		
12		
1 2		
13		
1 1		
14		
15		
1.6		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

1 2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA			
3 4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,	Case no.: 2:19-mj-00392-NJK		
567	vs. STEPHANIE GEORGE et al.,	EPHANIE GEORGE et al., FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND ORDER		
8	Defendants.			
10	FINDINGS OF FACT			
11	Based on the pending Stipulation of co	unsel for LEDFORD, and good cause appearing		
12	therefore, the Court finds that:			
13	1. Counsel for defendant GEORGE s	spoke with GEORGE, who is out of custody, and		
14	GEORGE has no objection to the re-	equest for continuance;		
15	2. Counsel for defendant CHIVAS s	poke with CHIVAS, who is out of custody, and		
16	CHIAVAS has no objection to the request for continuance;			
17	3. Counsel for defendant LEDFORD spoke with LEDFORD, who is out of custody,			
18	and LEDFORD has no objection to the request for continuance;			
19	4. As indicated below, counsel for the United States has no objection to the request for			
20	continuance;			
21	5. Counsel for Defendants will need a	dditional time to review discovery;		
22	6. The additional time requested here	ein is not sought for the purposes of delay, but to		
23	allow Counsel for the Defendant	s sufficient time to effectively and thoroughly		
24	research and prepare;			

1 7. Denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage of justice; 2 8. Denial of this request for continuance would deny undersigned counsel sufficient 3 time to effectively and thoroughly prepare, taking into account the exercise of due diligence; 4 5 9. The additional time requested is excludable in computing the time within which trial 6 must commence pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18, United States Code, 7 Section 3161(h)(1)(D) and Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(7)(A) 8 considering the factors in Title 18, United States Code, Sections 3161(h)(7)(B)(i) 9 and 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv); 10 10. This is the third request for a continuance filed herein. 11 **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW** 12 The ends of justice served by granting said continuance outweigh the best interest of the 13 public, since the failure to grant said continuance would be likely to result in a miscarriage of 14 justice, would deny the parties herein sufficient time and the opportunity within which to be 15 able to effectively and thoroughly prepare, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 16 The continuance sought herein is excusable under the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18, United 17 States Code, Section 3161(h)(1)(D) and Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(7)(A) 18 considering the factors in Title 18, United States Code, Sections 3161(h)(7)(B)(i) and 19 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). 20 /// 21 /// 22 ///

23

24

///

///

1	<u>ORDER</u>
2	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Preliminary Examination hearing currently
3	scheduled for Wednesday, September 11, 2019, at 4:00 P.M., be continued to November 13, 2019,
4	at 4:00 p.m.
5	DATED: September 11, 2019
6	IT IS SO ORDERED:
7	
8	HONORABLE NANCY J. KOPPE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
9	CITIED STATES MAGISTRATE GODGE
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	